CISC 7700X Final Exam

Pick the best answer that fits the question. Not all of the answers may be correct. If none of the answers fit, write your own answer.

- 1. (5 points) Both mean and median measure:
 - (a) The slope of the data.
 - (b) The spread of the data.
 - (c) The central tendency of the data.
 - (d) The gradient of the data.
- 2. (5 points) Both standard deviation and interquartile range measure:
 - (a) The slope of the data.
 - (b) The spread of the data.
 - (c) The central tendency of the data.
 - (d) The gradient of the data.
- 3. (5 points) If P(x, y) = P(x)P(y) then
 - (a) x is more likely than y.
 - (b) x implies y.
 - (c) x and y are independent.
 - (d) x and y are not independent.
 - (e) None of the above, answer is:
- 4. (5 points) If $P(x, y) \neq P(x|y)P(y)$ then
 - (a) x is more likely after y.
 - (b) y causes x.
 - (c) x and y are independent.
 - (d) x and y are not independent.
 - (e) None of the above, answer is:
- 5. (5 points) Which one of these is correct?

(a)
$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{\sum P(A,B)}$$

(b) $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$
(c) $P(A|B) = P(B|A)P(A)P(B)$
(d) $P(A|B) = P(A,B)/P(B|A)$
(5 points) In Bayes rule: $P(x|u) = F(x|u)$

- 6. (5 points) In Bayes rule: P(x|y) = P(y|x)P(x)/P(y), the P(x) is:
 - (a) The likelihood.

- (b) The prior probability.
- (c) The posterior probability.
- (d) The posterior likelihood.

7. (5 points) In Bayes rule: P(x|y) = P(y|x)P(x)/P(y), the P(y|x) is:

- (a) The likelihood.
- (b) The prior probability.
- (c) The posterior probability.
- (d) The conditional probability of y given x.
- 8. (5 points) Conditional probability P(y|x) differs from likelihood P(y|x):
 - (a) They're both the same.
 - (b) They both sum to 1.
 - (c) Probability P(y|x) is a function of y, while likelihood P(y|x) is a function of x.
 - (d) Likelihood tells us the probability of y given x.
- 9. (5 points) Imagine we run a bank's lending department. From past data, 1 in 5 credit applicants end up defaulting on their loans. Our loan application process is very detailed: we record thousands of data points on each applicant. After crunching past loans, we discover that bad loans were to people with mustaches 80% of the time (good loans involve a mustache only 1% of the time). A customer with a mustache walks in: use the Bayes rule to get probability that the customer will default on their loan:
 - (a) 1/5
 - (b) 4/5
 - (c) 5/6
 - (d) 1/6
 - (e) None of the above, the answer is:
- 10. (5 points) We codify the rule from previous question, and put it into production. After sometime, we discover that the default rate remains mostly unchanged. What could have gone wrong?
 - (a) Obviously the implementation of the Bayes rule used wrong technology stack.
 - (b) People realized we are onto mustaches and shaved them off.
 - (c) If we have thousands of features to choose from, some are bound to be correlated with the result we want by pure chance.
 - (d) Mustaches don't cause loan defaults. Loan defaults cause mustaches!

- 11. (5 points) We next use income brackets: from past data, 60% of loan defaults are in \$1-40k income bracket, 20% of loan defaults are in \$40-100k income bracket, 20% are in \$100-and-up bracket. 50% of good loans (non-defaulted) are from \$1-40k income bracket, 40% from \$40-100k bracket, and 10% from \$100-and-up. A customer with \$50k income walks in, according to Bayes rule what's the probability of default?
 - (a) 1/9
 - (a) 1/6
 - (a) 1/5
 - (b) Cannot be determined. Not enough information.
 - (e) None of the above, the answer is:
- 12. (5 points) Another feature that appears useful is whether the applicant has a car loan. We notice that 90% of defaulted applicants also had a car loan, while only 20% of non-default applicants had a car loan. A customer with a car loan walks in, according to Bayes rule what's the probability of default?
 - (a) 82/90
 - (b) 5/41
 - (c) 95%
 - (d) Cannot be determined. Not enough information.
 - (e) None of the above, the answer is:
- 13. (5 points) Being very clever, we first apply the income bracket model, followed by the car-loan check model. A customer with \$50k income walks in, with an existing car loan, according to Bayes rule what's the probability of default?
 - (a) 5/77
 - (b) 1/9
 - (c) 7/55
 - (d) Cannot be determined. Not enough information.
 - (e) None of the above, the answer is:
- 14. (5 points) Continuing from previous question, using Naive Bayes assumption, what's the probability of default after observing income bracket and car-loan feature?
 - (a) 5/77
 - (b) 1/9
 - (c) 7/55
 - (d) Cannot be determined. Not enough information.
 - (e) None of the above, the answer is:

- 15. (5 points) The answer to previous question is:
 - (a) The exact probability of rain given the evidence.
 - (b) An overestimate.
 - (c) An underestimate.
 - (d) Depends on whether no-car-loan implies higher income bracket.
- 16. (5 points) Which one of these is not a linear model? (notation tip: x^n is x raised to *n*th power; x_n is the *n*th x in the list).
 - (a) $y = x_0 * w_0 + x_1 * w_1 + \ldots + x_n * w_n$
 - (b) $y = x^0 * w_0 + x^1 * w_1 + x^2 * w_2 + \ldots + x^n * w_n$
 - (c) $y = w_0 * e^{w_1 * x}$
 - (d) $y = w_0 * x^{w_1}$
 - (e) All of the above are linear.
- 17. (5 points) Given a sample of N data points, we discover that we can fit two models, a line: $y = w_0 + w_1 x$ and a polynomial:

$$y = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + w_3 x^3 + w_4 x^4 + w_5 x^5$$

The polynomial fits our training dataset 'better'. Which is true:

- (a) We'd expect the line to have higher variance, but lower bias.
- (b) We'd expect the line to have lower variance, but higher bias.
- (c) We'd expect both to have equivalent bias and variance.
- (d) We'd expect the polynomial to perform better on other samples.
- 18. (5 points) Given a confusion matrix, we can calculate the accuracy:
 - (a) By summing all columns and rows.
 - (b) By summing across the diagonal.
 - (c) By removing false positives from the diagonal counts.
 - (d) By comparing false negatives to false positives.
 - (e) None of the above, the answer is:
- 19. (5 points) Given a training sample of M data points of N-dimensions: organized as a matrix \boldsymbol{X} that has M rows and N columns, along with the \boldsymbol{y} vector (of M numbers). We wish to fit a linear model such as:

$$y = x_0 * w_0 + x_1 * w_1 + \ldots + x_n * w_n$$

If M is much bigger than N, we can solve for \boldsymbol{w} via:

(a) $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{X^{-1}y}$ (b) $\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X} + \lambda\boldsymbol{I})^{-1}\boldsymbol{y}$

- (c) $\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{I})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}$
- (d) $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{X}^T (\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{X}^T + \lambda \boldsymbol{I})^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}$
- (e) None of the above, the answer is:
- 20. (5 points) Using the dataset from previous question, we wish to fit the same linear model using gradient descent. We take a guess at the initial \boldsymbol{w} and start iterating: updating the \boldsymbol{w} values with every element we examine. What would be an appropriate weight update rule for each \boldsymbol{x} ?
 - (a) $w_i = w_i + (y f(\boldsymbol{x}))^2 x_i$
 - (b) $w_i = w_i * \lambda (y f(\boldsymbol{x})) x_i$
 - (c) $w_i = w_i \lambda (y \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{w}) x_i$
 - (d) $w_i = w_i + \lambda (y \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{w}) x_i$
 - (e) None of the above, the answer is: